

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,711	07/28/2003		Shuwei Yang	51236US	7101
23911	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL			KETTER, JAMES S		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				1636	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/627,711	YANG, SHUWEI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	James S. Ketter	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition	on of Claims						
5) \bigsim (4) \bi	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/19/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	· ·				

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-24, in the reply filed on 22 December 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 25-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 22 December 2004.

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 2 recites that the method "consists" of one recombination reaction between two recombination sites. However, this excludes positively recited steps in parent claim 1.

Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, in step (3), has "Product Vector" underlined. This is improper.

Claim 3, at line 2, has "Patent" in pace of "Parent".

Claim 3, line 3, has "an" in front of "joined", first appearance, whereas "a" would be correct.

Claim 3, line 3, should have "the" inserted before "joined, second occurrence.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1636

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The instant claims recites "or a derivative thereof", in reference to the recombination sites. However, while it is noted that several examples of recombination sites are given in the specification, and it is also clear that a number of such sites are known in the art, there is no description set forth in the specification or the art which would have permitted one of skill to have modified such sites broadly, and to have maintained the function of such sites. No theory or algorithm is presented which would have permitted one of skill to have known a priori what changes in the structures of such sites would have preserved the site-specific recombination function. In view of this lack of a structure-function relationship for such sites, it would not have been apparent to one of skill in the art at the time of filing that Applicants was in possession of the full scope of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1636

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, and therefore claims 2-24 which depend therefrom, recites in step (3), line 1, "respectively". However, it is not clear to what this term refers, i.e., what listing is arrange with respect to what other listing.

Claim 10 recites, at line 4, that the functional element comprises "a C-terminal" or an "N-terminal tag". However, these are polypeptide features, whereas the functional element is a nucleic acid segment. Furthermore, it is not clear if "C-terminal" is the complete recital of this feature, in that it is not clear how a "C-terminal" would be a functional element <u>per se</u>. Was "C-terminal tag" intended?

Claim 12 recites, at line 3, "a derivative thereof." However, it is not clear what molecules would be included within this term. For example, if all of the bases of the site were replaced with different bases, would this still be considered a derivative? As is, the metes and bounds of the claim are unclear.

Claim 13 uses "or" to conjoin members of a Markush-type grouping, which renders indefinite the metes and bounds of the group, and therefore the claim.

Claims 22 and 24 recite, in the third indented section of each, the phrase "to cause the first region that can be converted into a first joinable end". However, this language appears to be

Art Unit: 1636

incomplete, in that said first region is not being "caused", but rather the joining of joinable ends, which has been omitted from the language of each claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of

Art Unit: 1636

the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Jsk October 27, 2005

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER